

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 7, 2000

LB 414

SENATOR JONES: Yeah, I was looking at Brown County particular there. Brown County shows a zero, but then it shows a loss of \$5,934, see?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah.

SENATOR JONES: And that didn't quite add up for having a zero there and having that kind of a loss.

SENATOR BEUTLER: No, I don't...I don't think it makes any sense, although...yeah.

SENATOR JONES: The other question I asked, if they do just have one county clerk and the district court be combined, with the child support...I understand it's going to be extended another year to be collected out there in place of going to central, is that going to make a difference in them if they lower that down, only make one clerk there?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Yeah, I...we don't know what's going to happen with the...with the child support right now. It may be one year or maybe this year or maybe next year probably. We hear we're going to delay it a year. In any event, it will not make a difference as this trans...as this transfers. On down the line, ultimately, it may make a difference in how many people you need to do the job, because the central office obviously is going to take some of the work and responsibility away. And down the line three years from now, if the counties themselves have not cut back it may be that the state will have to take a look at that.

SENATOR JONES: Well, thank you. That answers some of my questions. I...I still a little bit concerned about several of my counties, simply because most of them do show a minus, but, anyway, I'll continue to listen to discussion. I still got problems with moving the assessor over to the state level. Here we've got nine counties that came over. If we...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR JONES: ...don't do something like Roger, Senator Wehrbein, says, that we cannot afford to move them all over. I