

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 7, 2000

LB 1101

or correct those references to federal law. Let it stay there, and if everybody believes that that's the way it should be drafted and written, let it go on into law and let it be struck down. You cannot let Congress legislate for the state of Nebraska by changing language of the law simply by changing an enactment in Washington. If you base categories of services on what's written in a federal regulation or statute rather than listing it in this bill, if that regulation falls, everything in this bill falls too. Let me read some language on page 2, and the reason I am doing that, Senator Aguilar is not opposed to the amendment that I'm offering. This is in Section 3, subsection (2). Direct service contractor means a city, county, city and county, agency of the state, or any private nonprofit organization...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that demonstrates the ability to provide the services to the individuals specified in section 5 of this act and in 45 C.F.R part 2551. Are they different? If they are not different, why make the reference to the federal enactment? If they are different, why not just take from the federal enactment what you want and put it into the state statutes?

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Debate on the Chambers amendment. Senator Chambers, I see no lights. You are recognized to close on this amendment.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. Speaker and members of the Legislature, I have done my duty this morning. I am not going to prolong the discussion on this bill. I am not going to offer any more amendments. Just let it go, but I will make comments about the bill and the legislating that occurs in this body. I am not going to assume the responsibility of trying to turn a sow's ear into a silk purse, speaking figuratively, of course. Since I do not make detergents and I do not take in washing and ironing, I shall not try to bring a clean thing out of an unclean thing, speaking figuratively. I don't care whether you adopt this amendment or not. It will help the bill, but in that same area where this amendment is being offered, other language should be stricken, institutional, for sure. But if you all like institutional, leave it in. If you think that in-home means