

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 2, 2000

LB 973

SENATOR JANSSEN: If it's a...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: There is no sales tax involved and no liquor tax was paid. I'm a private person with this liquor. And I don't see anything that says as soon as I bring it into the state I have to go to some Revenue agent and pay liquor tax. So I could just keep it and wouldn't have to pay the tax, actually. Isn't that true, or is it?

SENATOR JANSSEN: Senator Chambers, would you repeat that. I didn't hear you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, as a private person, if I bring this liquor in, which Ernest or Julio gave to me without them paying taxes or me paying taxes in California, I don't have to pay taxes in Nebraska even if this bill is in effect, do I, because there's nothing that tells me when I have to pay it?

SENATOR JANSSEN: No, I think you'd still have to pay the federal tax on it or the excise tax, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, how are they going to find out I got it?

SENATOR JANSSEN: Well, there would be no trail if somebody give it to you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But if somebody snitched on me and said that I've got it in my house, the federal government is the one who would then come to my house with the IRS saying pay up, or do they still have "G-men" and women, and say pay up or go to jail?

SENATOR JANSSEN: I don't know whether they still have those "G-men" and women or...I think they do. They call them something different now. But then we're getting away from the question. I believe that if you have alcoholic liquor, whether somebody gives it to you, somewhere along the line that tax must be paid. And I...I would imagine that Ernest and Julio in California have to pay a certain amount of tax on that product when they bottle it.