

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 1, 2000

LB 43, 921

to eliminate any doubt as to when, in fact, day one of the appeal period occurred. Several statutes still use the phrase rendition of judgment. LB 921 would use entry of judgment throughout all of the relevant Nebraska statutes. The third topic creates a mistake made in the passage of LB 43 and changes the defining time for appeal, which applies to a petition in error. In 1999, we changed rendition to entry of judgment, and this was a mistake as the proceedings give rise to a petition in error are "noncourt" proceedings and, therefore, entry of judgment, as though by a court clerk, is inaccurate. LB 921 would restore in the appropriate sections the word "rendition". The fourth topic area makes changes to statutes related to post-trial review. Several statutes pertaining to post-trial motions need to conform to the provisions of LB 43, that's the 1999 bill, so that entry of judgment is the uniform starting point for the taking of an appeal. In addition, several statutes need clarification as to the difference in procedure between an immediate motion for new trial and a subsequent motion for new trial based on newly discovered evidence. Also, the power of the district court to set aside a judgment based on equity needs clarification. Finally, there is no statute which provides for an order nunc pro tunc to correct a clerical error in order to change a clerical mistake arising from an oversight or admission. LB 921 would conform post-trial motions to LB 43 regarding entry of judgment. In addition, the post-trial motion procedure is clarified, and the statutory authority for nunc pro tunc orders is created. The fifth topic area encompassed in LB 921 clarifies statutory language as it relates to appeals from the county court in domestic relations cases. In LB 1996, a legislative bill enacted by this body, gave the county court concurrent original jurisdiction with a district court in domestic relations cases. However, the statute does not make clear that the appeals from county court in domestic relations go to the Court of Appeals rather than to the district court. LB 921 would clarify that appeals from county court in domestic relations cases do not go to the district court, as do other such appeals from that court, but rather to the Court of Appeals. The final topic area, the sixth one, is technical clean-up language removing from several statutes, as set forth in the green copy, a separate...certain language in adding a separate new section. In 1998, LB 234 adopted various provisions regarding joinder and also included the residual