

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 1, 2000

LB 1285

Chambers, I think we both agreed that it would be unwise to try to write that on the floor during debate. And Senator Bromm and I haven't exactly shook hands and...and cut our wrist. But I think what we've primarily agreed to do it would probably wise, if the bill moves, that...and with some indication it probably should, now, between now and Select File that there be a genuine discussion about what can you do about the meaningful stay and what are some of the options. Because it isn't just as easy as fixing a word or two.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: This is really...I mean this is very difficult and very important because there's lots of money involved, but, more than that, there's lots of telephone service that's involved as well.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Exactly. And, Senator Bromm and Senator Kristensen, I'd like to work with you, if you don't mind, once this process is started. Thank you. That's all I would ask. We all have constituents and constituencies who will be affected by whatever we do. And Senator Matzke mentioned there's a small phone operation in his district. So some of these companies may constitute a part of our constituency. But our primary aim still has to be to see to the interest of the public. We prioritize and we establish categories based on the importance that those categories hold in the overall scheme of things. So the public would be at the top, in my view, of everything that we would deal with. But when we are going to talk about actions that may have to be taken by the FSC with reference to one of these operations, whether big or small, we do want to be sure that the law creates processes that are fair and that are meaningful. I read old, old Nebraska Supreme Court cases. And you'll find a different way that people had of constructing sentences, different types of words that are used and no longer are, and in some cases much more clarity in the arguing or presentation of argument by judges who are writing these opinions. But one gave an example of a requirement that a law be published. And to show that it could have different meanings, this judge wrote that you need to come to a clear understanding of what is meant by "published". Does that mean that you write it out and then put it in some public location?