

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 1, 2000

LR 289

diabetes problem that so many of our Native Americans have, but even if they build a casino up there it's not going to stop there. Sooner or later after that you're going to see the large companies, the large Las Vegas companies, those that are in Council Bluffs right now. If there's money to be made here, they're also going to come into Nebraska and then I'm afraid that the poor casino up there is the one that will suffer again and be in problem areas. Also, I want you to look at some of the other states that have had problems with Indian gambling, again, from that sovereignty issue, once they set...set...are set up in the casino area. This is taken from the Council of State Government's survey on gaming in 1998: Arizona, defiance of state regulations of Class III gaming; Colorado, the free-flow sharing of regulation and enforcement information, including intelligence, they haven't been able to get that; Idaho, have had continuing disagreement with tribes regarding electronic pull-tab machines, we talked about that earlier; Kansas, employment of unlicensed personnel, insufficient separation of power between Tribal Gaming Commission and casino owners; Louisiana, as a result of paying no state taxes, tribes have a competitive advantage over other gaming entities, that's an interesting one; Minnesota, the lack of sufficient revenue for enforcement and regulation; New Mexico, disagreement about the level of revenue sharing and other payments required to be made to the state; Oregon, gambling addition. Now to get into the casino operation to get more revenue to support...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR JENSEN: ...compulsive gambling is like giving someone a disease to then sell a cure later on. That I don't think is conscionable. I do ask that my colleagues be very, very careful about this vote. Yes, I believe in a committee structure. It is interesting if we look back. If we would have changed the rules earlier this year and gone to nine-man committees we would not have eight-man committees where we do have a deadlock, but that's another...another issue and that's for another day. But four members of that committee decided, no, they didn't want to move it; four members said they would, so it is deadlocked. This is not the end. There's another year that can happen. But think very, very carefully about advancement of any issue with gambling. It only leads to another issue. We had a bill in