

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

March 1, 2000

LB 1285

mean I think people need to understand that. But if there's an order that has, let's say, five components in it where you have to have five different things you comply with and they don't do that, is that \$10,000 or whatever the...let's say it's \$1,000, is that per order or is that per requirement within the order? In other words, can it be a multiple?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Bromm.

SENATOR BROMM: I think, Senator Kristensen, that the answer would be that if the order finds that there are three different violations that it could be \$10,000 per violation.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Okay.

SENATOR BROMM: It is...

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: That's how I read it as well.

SENATOR BROMM: That's right, it's per violation.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: And that...that's the...that's one of the things that people need to be aware of here, that it isn't just a potential...I went to law school so I didn't have to do math. Whatever...whatever \$10,000 a day is for 365 days, that'd be \$3 million?

SENATOR BROMM: Could be.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Yeah, okay, 3.6, well, I don't...you, obviously, weren't a math school...math graduate either. So that's...it isn't just a maximum of \$3.6 million a year. It's what...it's the number of violations that are there within that order, and some of those orders could be substantial. There could be multiples of those orders and that's the...that's one of the issues I guess I've struggled with and have done. I introduced a fine bill one year that had substantially higher fines for interconnection agreements and ran into the same issues, and so do you make it narrower, do you make it broader, what is the potential here, and then if that's true do those fines start to become punitive, in effect, and that's one of the issues I guess I'm going to struggle with, although generally