

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 25, 2000 LB 1436A

say on this and you can certainly vote accordingly. Thank you, Mr. Speaker.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Brown.

SENATOR BROWN: Mr. President, members, in a follow-up to some of the things that Senator Jensen said, I support the purpose of the money that we have but I worry very much about the integrity of the system, and I worry about it from the standpoint of something that I began talking about a few days ago. We are, in the state of Nebraska, either 49th or 50th, it depends on the grouping that you...the poll that you look at, 49th or 50th in spending on public health. And we have some very good issues that come before this body that are public health issues, but we are never going to get a coherent plan if the way that we do business is to have each idea considered rather than to have some sort of a group that considers ideas as a whole in how we can bring Nebraska into a place where we can be at least...at least say that we are in the middle in terms of the way we are addressing our public health needs. And so if we don't have the group that we put in place in the Excellence in Health Care Trust Fund, who is looking at everything as a whole and putting it together in a puzzle, somewhat the same way that the Appropriations Committee does when we work on the budget, then we're not going to have something that is a coherent, cohesive plan to pull Nebraska into...into some reasonable state as far as public health spending and that it makes sense. And so I have some grave reservations about the process that we have gone through in terms of the funding of this, even though I believe absolutely in the purpose. Thank you.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Senator Landis.

SENATOR LANDIS: Mr. Speaker, members of the Legislature, my guess is that there could have been a vote following my last speech if we'd just done that. On the topic of ambushing, I think ambushing exists if there was a bracket motion, an amendment here. I don't think any floor speech that calls attention to a major public policy question could be fairly described as an ambush. Floor debate, a relatively limited amount, that calls...calls to the attention of the body important issues doesn't constitute an ambush. That's the use