

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 24, 2000 LB 659

**PRESIDENT MAURSTAD:** Without objection, it is withdrawn. Further debate on the Senator Quandahl amendment to LB 659? Senator Chambers.

**SENATOR CHAMBERS:** Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I'm not going to offer any other amendments because this one time should be enough. I want to focus on what Senator Bourne brought to our attention. Under the law right now, any pickle seller, any operator may sell the pickle cards or this other gambling stuff, paraphernalia, to whoever is foolish enough to come in there and want to buy it. This operator can sell the pickle cards and other gambling paraphernalia of any organization that wants him or her to sell it. That is allowed under the law right now. He read you other language which contains prohibitory requirements, but it leaves open the opportunity for people to enter a voluntary agreement of any kind they choose that is not otherwise illegal. This amendment of Senator Quandahl would make certain things illegal between people who want to do it, even though that which they want to agree to do is not harmful to anybody and each party to the agreement sees it as mutually beneficial. Maybe "88 Keys" sitting over there, that's my friend Senator Cudaback, has a Steinway and I look at that and it's highly polished mahogany, it's got 88 keys, but what I don't know, because I don't play a piano, is that there are no strings inside the piano so if you hit the key and there are no little hammers attached to the key either, you hit the key and no sound comes out. Well, I don't want it for the sound. I want it for the visual effect. So Senator Smith is going to say I don't think Senator Cudaback ought to be able to sell a nonfunctioning piano to Senator Chambers, even if Senator Chambers wants to buy it, because if it doesn't function it's not a piano. Well, why does he even get into it? I'm buying it for my purposes. Maybe I want to cut it up and make firewood out of it. Whatever I want to do with it, it's mine to do with once I pay the price that Senator Cudaback wants. If Senator Bourne and I want to enter an agreement, whether it's to lease, rent or sell equipment, why should we not be able to enter any agreement that we choose to enter? If the terms he sets up in his agreement are too onerous, I don't enter the agreement. If "88 Keys" wants to charge me more for that nonfunctioning piano than I think it's