

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 24, 2000 LB 659

which maybe I'd give consideration to. But whichever boat I get on, because I don't like to be on water, I'd like to scrape the barnacles off. So I don't know what this boat is, I don't know where it's going, I don't know who the captain is. I know that Senator Quandahl is doing something with the bill, so the thing I want to do is discuss it further. But I also would like to get rid of some extraneous language. There is another place in his amendment where this language is found, so I will offer another amendment or, during the discussion, amend mine to include that. Mr. Clerk, is it possible for me to state how I would like that amendment to read, because there is another spot in this amendment, it would be on line 8, beginning with the word "to"?

CLERK: I can...I can modify your sense...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, through the period...

CLERK: ...your amendment, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...on line 9, yes, so that it gets...

CLERK: All right, tell...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...that language, both...

CLERK: Line 8, starting with the line...word "to"? Senator, strike that language? Is that...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, through the period in line 9.

CLERK: So you're striking "to the exclusion of all others" in line 8 and 9, is that right?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

CLERK: Okay. Thank you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: The way the amendment reads now is to strike that language both places where it appears in this amendment. There's got to be something more to this and I am not going to support it unless I'm told something other than what I've been