

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 16, 2000 LB 505, 1436

system that way, and we do not have a consensus on that principle. Let me tell you the one thing I like about LB 1436 is its goal. Let me tell you two things that I'd like to see different about it. First, comes from the wrong place for the money; and, number two, it's too small. First place, it should come from cigarette taxes. We're going to use that tobacco settlement money for sick Nebraskans who are already sick, probably because of cancer and illnesses that were brought about by tobacco. We've got...we're going to have lots of claims and we don't want to take individual pieces away from it. So the first thing I'd do is I'd go to the cigarette tax, that's where you'd fund LB 1436. The second thing is that if LB 1436, in its current form, is rather like the DARE program which, as much as it has a value, has very little documented results on the outcome. I like the goal. The Center for Disease Control says if you want to attack youth smoking, you do it across a number of plains--cessation programs, prevention programs, and education programs collectively. So let me tell you, if I was trying to do something in the field I would do this, I would move to the use of the cigarette taxes, but on a much more modest basis than LB 505. I would take out the Center for Disease Control and I would look at their programming across a number of fronts and not just pull out education, but look at prevention and cessation. I would cost out that program so that it's not for the purposes of a high tax to affect consumption, but to raise money to do the programming, and I'd add in Senator Kiel's cancer research money. I think cancer research has a demonstrable value in this general area. I would put a package, a relatively modest one--prevention, cessation and education from the Center for Disease Control--which fits, I think, with what LB 1436 wants to do. I frankly would add the cancer research, which is a piece that we've never talked about, but I think the body generally endorses. And I would make a modest cigarette tax increase to fund it in LB 1436. LB 505 may be too large, LB 1436 comes from the wrong place with the money and is too small. There is probably a middle ground that many of the people in this body could agree on, and I think the principles would be this--take the money from cigarette taxes, spend it on cigarette related programming, and that would include cancer research, and it would include a proven method that affects youth smoking, which would be components of cessation, prevention and education. And to me that would come up with a