

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 16, 2000 LB 1018

introduced.) Senator Kristensen, on the Chambers amendment.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members of the Legislature. Senator Chambers, I...we just briefly discussed this over by your desk. And Senator Bromm is probably...it's his bill and I'll see what his opinion is, but let me tell you mine. I would think that "franchisee" is either a person or an entity. And I know people, their interest in the bill may wane because there has been a lot of technical things, and I understand that. But as I understand this and I think the way the practice is, you want to sell cars, you can't manufacture your own car practically, and you couldn't advertise, so you go to any of the big ones. We've given Honda enough advertising, let's...let's go to...I don't know if I like any of the other ones either. Well, let's just pick out Chevrolet; they'll probably be the next to go under. You go to the Chevrolet manufacturer and you say, I want to sell your cars, could I please sell your cars? And let's say that all the other stuff works out, they will give you a franchise, it is nothing more than a piece of paper, it is a contract as you've described it. I think that's accurate, I think that's what the law already says. So the manufacturer of Chevrolet gives you or enters into a franchise agreement with the franchisee. Now the franchisee could be you or I as an individual, but I assume most of the time they are entities, because you'd want to limit your liability, you want to have partners, you want to do some other things, you'd either be a limited liability company, you'd be a corporation, you might be a partnership, which would be two individuals, basically. What I think you want to prevent is that Chevrolet manufacturer coming in and saying, well you know what, I'll give you that agreement if you give me 10 percent of your business entity; you can't have the franchise unless I get a part ownership of that. And then that's...when the trouble begins they don't say, well you know what, we'll give you more cars, if you'll give me more ownership of this business entity. And pretty soon they get 51 percent and they say, well, we really don't need this franchise; what we want to do is...it's so much cheaper if we just have the company, Chevrolet, own this. And I think the evil that you want to prevent is to have the manufacturer be the franchisee. And so my reading of this would be that, if you strike the franchisee, you let them have some ownership in that underlying local business, and that's the