

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 16, 2000 LB 1018

line 9, you see subdivision (a), I would strike the following language, and it continues onto page...onto line 10, I would strike "a representative of the manufacturer or distributor". I would substitute "a factory representative or a distributor representative". And when you go to the definitions of these terms, you can find these terms at page 5, line 24, page 9, line 25. And when they're talking about some of these terms, they will refer back and forth to each other and say this term means the same as the other one. So if it's felt that this terminology that I'm taking out of the existing law does not encompass what is intended to be encompassed by this language that exists in the green copy, then I am available for interrogation. Let me say something about the bill, although I'm talking about this specific amendment that I'm offering. The amendment is simple, that's why I can go into some parts of the bill, because the motion right now before us is to advance the bill. So we've got to do what we're going to do now. I listened to what one of the speakers said who is in favor of this bill. And the example was given of a small merchant with a franchise from Wal-Mart. And the argument was given that if Wal-Mart is the source of this franchisee's stock, Wal-Mart is in a position to manipulate and drive that person out of business because Wal-Mart is the only place from which this stock can be obtained. That sounded plausible, but you know how you instinctively feel that that argument doesn't wash. McDonald's has franchises. When a McDonald's franchisee is going to buy stock, they buy it from McDonald's, because I see these long trucks at McDonald's with McDonald's written on it, and that's where the stuff comes from, the hamburger is mixed a certain way, according to a certain formula, the French fries and all those other things. So if McDonald's is not prohibited from owning and operating all of its franchises, we don't see a need to protect them by law. Why do we protect automobile dealers? And some people had argued that if you don't protect these dealers, the manufacturer will come in and there will be no competition. Well, how much competition is there between dealers in an area selling the same vehicle? But we don't have to really look at competition between and among individual dealers representing a manufacturer, there is still competition between and among the car manufacturers. So the manufacturer of Ford, the manufacturer of "Daimler Crisis"...Chrysler, and it was a crisis for Chrysler, no longer could you get a "Jesus"