

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 15, 2000 LB 1018

insert the word "applicable". Then farther along that line you see the word "contracts". After the word "contracts", I would insert three words. Those three words are "with the seller". What that will do is narrow this language, so instead of saying that the dealer is jointly and severally liable for compliance with all laws and contracts, it would say all applicable laws and contracts with the seller. That would simply make this language track the language of the amendment we just adopted. The amendment we just adopted dealt with the operative portions of the law which will create the responsibility on the delivering dealer to be jointly and severally liable for these various things. On page 15 we're dealing with a written notice that such a dealer who delivers the vehicle must provide to the person who is going to be the recipient of that delivered vehicle. And it would cause the notice to contain the same language as the language in the operable law. Now if this amendment were not adopted, I believe it will be, nothing too significant would result because the operative portions of the law would bind that dealer and create his or her liability. That has been done already by the language adopted. If my amendment were not adopted and a notice were given by a dealer which simply said the dealer is responsible, the one who delivered it that is, delivered the vehicle. The dealer is responsible for compliance with all laws and contracts that could mislead the purchaser, because that notice is suggesting the dealer has a much broader responsibility and liability than is the case under the law. So by making the language that relates to the notice be the same as that in the portion of the law that creates the dealer's obligations, there can be no misunderstanding by anybody, and the dealer would not be allowed to give a written notice suggesting that the dealer is assuming more liability or responsibility than he or she really is. Now that I've discussed the amendment, I have to ask Senator Bromm a question or two.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bromm, would you yield to a question?

SENATOR BROMM: Yes, I would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Bromm, in this area of the law and commercial dealing I am a novice. Is the person who is going to receive delivery obliged to have the car delivered by whomever