

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 15, 2000 LB 1018

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: I need to think about that.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay.

SPEAKER KRISTENSEN: Thank you. I'd yield my time back.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Kristensen. Those wishing to speak to AM2426 are Senator Chambers and Senator Bromm. Senator Chambers, this will be your third time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: This time I'm aware of it. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I do believe that the warranty requirements would be included. The warranty provisions exist pursuant to the contract between the buyer and the seller. A part of that contract is the warranty. That's part of what you might call the consideration, in contract law, or the reason that I have for entering into the contract, a something for something, a promise for a promise. When I promise to buy that car and pay this money, the one selling it to me has promised to deliver me a vehicle which carries along with it the promise that, if certain things go wrong with that vehicle during a certain period of time, the seller is going to be responsible to make all of those things good. So with the language that is being adopted that relates to making the dealer who delivers the car in Nebraska jointly and severally liable, what that term "jointly and severally" means is that everybody who's a party to a contract on one side has total responsibility to the party on the other side. Let's say ten of us were involved in taking care of the seller's part of the contract, and Senator Bromm alone is the consumer. Senator Bromm could move against any one of us and make any one of us totally responsible for the entire obligation. And if he did select one of us, because one of us is convenient, and get that judgment, then the one of us that he got is going to have to ante up and be totally responsible. But then that person could try to spread out that liability among his or her co-conspirators, cohorts, or co-business partners, or whatever. But as far as the consumer, Senator Bromm, is concerned, all he would have to do is find the most convenient party to all of this and go after that person. So what his lawyer would tell him to do is to go against that dealer in