

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 14, 2000 LB 950

When we established that board it was, I think, a way for us to remove it from some of the politics, make it a little bit more administrative. And I thought it was to bring about some sort of coherent vision to how that money was going to be used to address the health needs in the state of Nebraska. And one of the things that concerned me is that we would have this kind of dual track system, which I'm afraid that in some of our discussions we're having a dual track system where individuals in this body would have particular projects or particular activities that were important, that would be set out for...to receive some of the funding, and we would not have this whole idea of a coherent vision. And so I also was a cosponsor with Senator Jensen, actually I was a cosponsor of the interim study to look at the structure of the Excellence in Health Care Fund and whether we had a sufficient...a sufficiently large body...large enough body to be representative enough to create this vision that we had...that at least I had hoped that we would have. And so I guess in response to Senator Beutler's concerns, I would say...or Senator Beutler's...I mean we really do, I have to reiterate what Senator Wehrbein said. We really are in a position this year on the Appropriations Committee, having a surplus that looked pretty healthy coming into a mid-biennium year, which...in...in which case you usually don't have a whole lot of new spending, you have a few deficit requests, a few new ideas that you look at generally with some skepticism because they're coming at a time when they're not being weighed with all the things that are weighed in the year that we set the budget. But this year, because we have such huge amounts of deficits, especially from Health and Human Services, huge amounts of deficits for the child care program, huge amounts of deficits for Medicaid, Medicaid being an entitlement, something that we're not going to be able to say no to, we are looking at over \$60 million in deficits for those programs in a year that we generally would set aside maybe \$5 million, on the high side \$10 million for all deficits. So we aren't flush, and so it does make it easy for us to begin to look for other sources, especially a source that is about health.

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR BROWN: But if we're going to do that, I would...I would