

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 11, 2000 LB 1004

what we should do. And the bill, first of all I'd like to clarify something though, this bill was not drafted by the County Attorneys Association, and they did not bring it to me. It was brought to me locally by a county attorney in my area who is having problems in this area. So it was not drafted by them, and I'm not going to tell you who drafted it. (Laugh) But as you know, I do...I do need legal help from the legal profession when we come to this type of bill because it is a legal...a legal situation. So I do appreciate the help of my colleagues and I do appreciate Senator Chambers agreeing to carrying on with the bill without killing the bill at this time, because it is very, very important. I think we really need this in the state of Nebraska. Thank you.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Senator Engel. There are no further lights on for the Chamber amendment, Chambers' amendment. To close, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Mr. President, members of the Legislature, the agreement that I'm making with Senator Matzke and the others who are interested in this bill does not mean I'm not going to question the bill, discuss it, and show problems in it, but I will not do all the kinds of things that I've done on a bill with which I've disagreed to just stop it absolutely. If the bill gets in a form that I don't like, I'll argue against it, I'll give my reasons why I don't like it, then, if the body chooses to go on with it, they can go on with it. I do see this as a very important area. I don't know what the answer is to people who drink and drive. If I knew the answer, I would have given it. So all that I can do, to the extent that I can, is to ensure that when we put laws on the books, even laws aimed at the worst among us, we cannot be influenced by how much we dislike that person and his or her conduct so that we will put on the books language which is unfair, which deprives that person of due process because, from my position, the people who are held in the greatest contempt are the ones who are in greatest need of protection from the law. And by that, I meant protection by the law. Not that the law excuses what they did, but because they are held in such low esteem, the law should make certain that if they are punished, they are punished for violating the law and not because people don't like them, and that dislike for these people and their misconduct should not