

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 11, 2000 LB 1004

in the bill...

SENATOR COORDSEN: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...and it becoming a basis to eliminate all of the bill.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Hilgert, to speak to the Chambers' amendment.

SENATOR HILGERT: Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Chambers raises some interesting points, but I would like to get back, if I could, Senator Engel, to how your bill would be implemented.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Senator Engel, would you respond to a question, please?

SENATOR ENGEL: Yes.

SENATOR HILGERT: For example, in the state of Kansas their level is .08, in Nebraska it's .10. If there was a conviction in Kansas, let's say, last year, new year's eve, someone driving at .08 in Kansas and was convicted, could that be used in Nebraska?

SENATOR ENGEL: It could not.

SENATOR HILGERT: Okay. Let's say the same time frame, last year, new year's eve, someone was driving in Kansas and was convicted and they had a blood alcohol level of .23, could that be used in Nebraska?

SENATOR ENGEL: Just a moment. If it's clear on the record and there is case law showing that they were well and above the 1.0 (sic), it could be used.

SENATOR HILGERT: So the county attorneys, when you have someone who is brought into court, they're not only going to have to do research in every state and territory in the United States, but also get a record of each conviction to see how that conviction was imposed?