

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 10, 2000 LB 701

SENATOR COORDSEN: Well, I heard...and thank you, Senator Beutler. I heard Senator Jones' comments and my understanding of what I'm proposing is that the maximum standard of fence that Game and Parks, by law, provides is, in fact, the standard legal fence. I think where Senator Jones is coming from is that the people from Game and Parks said they were willing to work with the landowners. Now, we may be in a such a situation, and I think we ought to put this on the record, that if a person, a landowner, wants a fence that is more expensive than what the...what the mandated standard is, I'm not so sure that there's any requirement that Game and Parks build anything more, and I know this is not what Senator Jones wants to hear, but there may be the...but there...I've got to back up. My tongue is bigger than what the words I want to say. But there quite conceptually would be the ability for Game and Parks to work out an agreement with a landowner. If there's a short piece where a person has a calving pen and they would like to have woven wire, maybe they had woven wire along the right of way, for an example, that this language provides that opportunity to be worked out between the landowner and Game and Parks. But the maximum cost fence that Game and Parks is required is, in fact, the standard fence, the same fence that they use to fence state parks, the same fence that they use to fence wildlife management areas, which is the legal fence as defined in statute.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

SENATOR COORDSEN: But I believe we have the flexibility for that issue to be worked out, but I don't think there's any guarantee in this amendment that an adjoining landowner could have built for them, at no cost, a fence of a higher standard than what the livestock fence is.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Okay?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Thank you, Senator Coordsen. And, Senator Jones, I expect that...I really do believe the landowners, given