

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 10, 2000 LB 701

concerned about, to the best of my knowledge, was the original amendment that I had which would have retained the requirement for a railroad type fence. As Dr. Klege (phonetic) said, he felt that the Coordsen amendment was wrong; that a plain pasture fence, which in fact is what we're talking about, was sufficient.

SENATOR CROSBY: So you think that...that they...

SENATOR COORDSEN: And...and I wouldn't say, because I've not seen every letter, but I will tell you that in...

SENATOR CROSBY: Okay.

SENATOR COORDSEN: ...that particular case that's one that I remember, because I knew the...

SENATOR CROSBY: Well, I will...

SENATOR COORDSEN: ...I knew the writer of the letter.

SENATOR CROSBY: ...I will double-check, Senator Coordsen, but none of them were involved in your conference, in your...

SENATOR COORDSEN: No, because I think what this does on their behalf is increases the miles of trails that can be developed by the Game and Parks Commission with the same amount of money, because it reduces the cost of the fencing while addressing the concerns of the adjoining landowner, particularly in the area of trespass.

SENATOR CROSBY: Okay. Thank you. I'll double-check with the people that called me just to be sure. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Crosby. Senator Jones, on the Coordsen amendment to LB 701.

SENATOR JONES: Mr. President, members of the body, I stand to support this amendment. The first amendment that we had drafted up, that I've got a letter or two on it too that was Senator Coordsen's first amendment. This one here is what you call a second version of it. But, anyway, I'm supportive of it because