

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 10, 2000 LB 438

way, I'd say 95...somewhere between 95 and 98 percent of all these fees and late penalties are paid and especially if you're a candidate committee. I think that's the least troublesome committee from the point of view of the commission because, obviously, a candidate that wins doesn't want to be on that list, and usually the ones that lose are responsible. So I don't know that you would ever see a situation, Senator, where a treasurer was held responsible unless it was a situation where, clearly, the facts indicated that the treasurer ignored advice or was otherwise clearly avoiding or ignoring the law. So I don't...I don't really feel uncomfortable with clarifying that for candidates, for candidate committees. I think it should be clarified. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Did you want the balance of your time, Senator Redfield? About two minutes.

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you very much. Senator Beutler, I would still have to say that by putting in statute that we are going to identify and clearly give ADC this clear guidance from the Legislature that we are going to hold the treasurer responsible if the candidate does not pay, I am very uncomfortable with that. I think that the responsibility should rest 100 percent with the candidate and I truly, truly, firmly believe that that is my responsibility as a candidate. So I'm very uncomfortable with that part of this. The rest of it I have no problem with.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Redfield. Senator Beutler, you're recognized to close.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Mr. Lieutenant Governor, members of the Legislature, I hope I've been clear in explaining the amendment and I hope the necessity of it is clear to you. Senator Redfield, it's a little short on time to deal with this, but if you and I could talk to Frank maybe we could discuss this a little bit and see if either one of us changes our mind or how this might be worked out. I would be glad to have that discussion. And I haven't talked to Senator Schimek, but it's early in the session. If we wanted to bring it back for another amendment, we could certainly do that. I would hope in the meantime, though, that people would see the wisdom of the