

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 8, 2000 LB 659

charitable organizations that this is intended for. As I look at the revenue that is expected to come into the state, it looks to me like we're not as concerned about whether these charitable organizations raise money for worthwhile projects as we are getting the money here into our coffers. I also see that there are two positions which would have to be added as we expand state government to cover two positions, for a total of around \$80,000 a year. And I am wondering about those positions, Senator Lynch, if you could address that. Are they anticipating so many problems with this that we would have to add a charitable gaming analyst and a charitable gaming inspector?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Lynch, would you yield?

SENATOR LYNCH: I certainly will. I can't begin to understand what's in the minds of analysts sometimes when they put A bills together. First of all, I think the increasing revenue to the state was estimated higher because they thought, they thought that the charitable gaming people would be helped by having this kind of electronic means of playing the pickle card game and keep more money in Nebraska. I can't honestly tell you whether they'd really need another auditor or someone else to do just the difference in what they suggest could happen on their A bill to take care of the books. I don't believe it, to be completely frank, because it's going to be accomplished electronically. The totals and the numbers will be automatically provided back to the charitable gaming people and the Department of Revenue, so I'm probably the wrong one to justify why they need new staff, but I will say that I'm sure the numbers that are indicated for the totals to the state are a result of what they think may be increased activity as a result of...as a result of technology. Does that help answer your question at all, Senator?

SENATOR REDFIELD: Thank you, Senator Lynch. I'm just assuming that if we pass the bill we're going to have to also pass an A note to approve them hiring those two people. And I wouldn't expect that these people would be causing us trouble. I would agree with you, I don't know why they would need to expand, but it does indicate that they would be hiring two more people. And I also don't understand, maybe you could address this, why in fact the state has to get a cut at all?