

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 7, 2000 LB 204

requiring the person to issue a minimum number. Would we need "certain" also?

SENATOR RAIKES: I agree with you, Senator. I don't think that would be needed.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. And if, in line 6, instead of eliminating the words "or any other type of citation", suppose we leave that in place, but after the word "of" we insert "traffic".

SENATOR RAIKES: After the word "of" on...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: In line 6, and it would say "or any other type of traffic citation"...

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...so that we're making it clear that if there's any other area of traffic enforcement which has not been specifically itemized here, that does not mean it is not included.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So by putting the word "traffic" we would make it clear that this itemization is not the exclusive list.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, I follow you.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What did Senator Schmitt say about then striking the sentence starting in line 7 that says the following: "The purpose of this section is to prohibit all types of ticket quota requirements for law enforcement officers"?

SENATOR RAIKES: Actually, Senator, we didn't get to that one. I would point out that line 8, I believe, is the only place that the word "quota" appears. So if you eliminated that sentence, you would eliminate, I think, any uncertainty caused by that word and maybe I'm the only one that has uncertainty about that. We did talk about, and I believe you are right about leaving out