

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 7, 2000 LB 204

which would make the bill aim more directly at what I feel is the perceived problem. Now you mentioned, I think, in our last conversation that the word "quota" implies in it a minimum.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

SENATOR RAIKES: But I would remind you that, for example, we have import quotas, of course in a completely different area, but there the quota is a maximum, and it's sort of implied in that term. So the word "quota" by itself I don't think...well, it may not be clear I guess is all I'm suggesting. And, for example, if you don't include the word "minimum" in there, and this is a question for you, I could be cited, right, for shoplifting? That would be a citation that would be possible? Certainly if you take into account all peace officers, then one possibility for them is to cite me for shoplifting, right?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, this bill deals only with traffic.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, so any other...any "nontraffic" violation is not covered in this. Okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That is true because the problem has not surfaced in any other area.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, so when you say, when the phrase in there "any other type of citation" that really means any other type of traffic citation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay. Well, just to throw this up, my...my suggestion would be to include between "certain" and "number" on line 4 the word "minimum"; to cross out "or percentage"; and then to cross out on line 6 "or any other type of citation"; and then I would leave out the sentence on lines 7 and 8, the idea being simply to try to direct the bill more toward the problem that I hear you describing.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Raikes, I would have to look more carefully at the words you would desire to strike before I would say that I agree with the specific striking, but anything that