

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 7, 2000 LB 204

SENATOR CUDABACK: Mr. President, members, I won't talk long on this subject, but when we think of quotas we usually think of sales, but we're not selling automobiles here, we're not selling eggs or we're not selling houses. When you think of quotas, you think you want to encourage people to sell, to sell. Law enforcement personnel, they're hired. They're hired to enforce the law, if that means you're writing 50 tickets a day or 1,000 tickets a day or none for a month. Maybe they don't have any Cudabacks breaking the law. You don't write a ticket if there is nobody breaking a law. They are hired to enforce the law. Quotas are meant for sales people, to encourage people to do things. How are you going to encourage somebody to give a ticket if there is nobody breaking the law? It's that simple to me. It's that simple. The other evening I was driving down the street here in Lincoln and a cop pulled me over. And I said, why do you...what did I do wrong? He said I was weaving, and I was weaving. I was trying to find my keys on the other side of...of the seat here for my garage. I was weaving so that cop pulled me over for a reason. It's a true story, and he pulled me over. I was weaving. I hope that cop didn't have any quota, and said if Cudaback wasn't weaving, well, I've met my quota, I won't pick Cudaback up and he can ram into a car. He picked me up for a reason. That's it. They are meant to enforce the law. Quotas are out in my book. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Cudaback. Senator Raikes, on the Chambers amendment.

SENATOR RAIKES: Thank you, Mr. President. A couple more questions, Senator Chambers, if I might ask you a question or two.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Prior to that, members, if you could please keep your conversations down if you must carry them on on the floor. Otherwise, take them off to the side out of respect to your colleagues. Senator Chambers, would you yield?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, I will.

SENATOR RAIKES: Senator, I do support your amendment to remove the word "indirectly". I think that's a good change. My...my feeling is that there could be some additional words eliminated