

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 7, 2000 LB 204

that. Would that be prohibited? Would my direction to you on that be prohibited by this?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No. You can, as a supervisor, you can counsel me relative to excessive conduct of a kind that is not carrying me toward the ultimate purpose of law enforcement. Just says you can counsel me with reference to a de minimis or too small an amount of activity in a certain area, but you cannot prescribe a specific number or percentage.

SENATOR RAIKES: Okay, so, but it does say all types. So either a maximum or minimum quota would be impacted, right?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: You cannot...yes, you cannot give a number. If you read the beginning of this bill, it lets you know what it is that is aimed at--the requirement of the specific number or the percentage. That cannot be done. That would not be allowed.

SENATOR RAIKES: But the...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Law enforcement is more than specific numbers.

SENATOR RAIKES: But the discussion has been aimed at a minimum number or a minimum percentage. You can't require a minimum number or minimum percentage. Isn't that the case?

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's true, but if a supervisor was going to tell a person not to do his or her job, that could be improper conduct in office by that supervisor because it could show an intent not to have the law enforced at all, and that is not allowed under the existing law.

SENATOR RAIKES: So wouldn't it improve the language then, I'm still not following this completely, wouldn't it improve it to include minimum, to prohibit minimum either quotas or percentages? I mean following along just what you said, if you prohibited someone from imposing a maximum, that could be interpreted as not allowing them to do their job.