

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 7, 2000 LB 204

the body. And thank you, Senator Chambers, for that amendment. It does give me some comfort there. I do have a couple other questions though I would like to direct, and maybe, Senator Chambers, you could, if you would yield, you could answer for me.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers.

SENATOR JENSEN: On line 5, it mentions a memorandum of faulty equipment. Do you know what we're talking about in that particular case?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That perhaps should be bucked to Senator Schmitt...

SENATOR JENSEN: Oh, okay.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because I'm not exactly sure.

SENATOR JENSEN: Well, well, then let me also, this is one I'm sure you can answer, is we are talking about a state agency or a political subdivision, so we're really talking about all cities, counties, anywhere where there is law enforcement.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Right.

SENATOR JENSEN: Okay. Then, and again, I do have a little bit of discomfort, I guess, when we are talking about when you're evaluating performance. I'm from the construction industry. Certainly we look at the amount of work that you put out at the end of every day as to how that individual is performing. Now how do we evaluate somebody if there are not tickets being written? Are we saying are they are spending too much time in a coffee shop, or whatever the circumstance is? There must be some evaluation from that individual and, certainly, I would think that some sort of a discussion as the number of tickets that are being written would come into that discussion, is that correct?

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Yes, and that could happen, Senator Jensen.