

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

February 7, 2000 LB 204

SENATOR SCHMITT: Right.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think the elimination of the word "indirectly" would destroy the main thrust of the bill?

SENATOR SCHMITT: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. I'd like to ask Senator Wehrbein a question.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Wehrbein.

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Wehrbein, if the word "indirectly" were eliminated from the bill, would that relieve some of the concerns that you expressed?

SENATOR WEHRBEIN: Yes, it would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: What I would like to suggest is that we eliminate the word "indirectly", and if there is anybody who is going to speak on the bill, I will prepare such an amendment.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Further discussion on the advancement of LB 204, Senator Schmitt, followed by Senator Janssen, excuse me, Jensen, and Senator Raikes. Senator Schmitt.

SENATOR SCHMITT: Yes, Mr. Lieutenant Governor, I just, I guess, wanted to talk a little more on that particular issue and the question hasn't come up yet, but just in a real quick check this morning, some of the states that do have this in their legislation is Indiana, Michigan, Pennsylvania, Iowa, and Kansas. Although I don't really particularly care what other states do, we do have two bordering states that have this in their legislation that they don't allow quotas. And I understand where Senator Wehrbein is concerned, I understand