

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 7, 2000 LB 204

this bill, or you can say put the two items in different compartments or rooms. The first one relates to the work of the officer, himself or herself. Senator Schmitt touched on what I feel is the most effective form of law enforcement and that is prevention. You will never apprehend all of the people or even a substantial percentage of the people who commit minor violations of the law. Tickets are issued for minor violations of the law. Officers will not even attempt to enforce every violation of minor laws whether they be traffic or otherwise. It is just an impossible thing to do. So officers are presumed, even though I think the presumption is misplaced but let me be as objective as I can on this bill, they are presumed to have discretion; discretion in the sense of understanding what the true and proper role of law enforcement is and that such law enforcement will conduct himself or herself in accord with those principles of proper law enforcement. To set an arbitrary number of contacts that must be made with the public can take an officer who might be very effective in his or her area in preventing violations of the law to feel required to make contacts by staying out of sight, allowing or hoping somebody will violate the law so that tickets can be issued. That's an overview from the standpoint of the officer, an officer, for the sake of this discussion, whom I will presume is a competent, sworn person conscious of his or her duty and intending to discharge it to the best of his or her ability. When it comes to the public, nobody should be in a position where he or she genuinely feels that a citation is issued in order to help an officer meet a quota. I talked to one of my colleagues who was stopped and ticketed because his tire touched the centerline of the highway; not weaving, touched the line and a stop was made. When these kind of trifling, irritating, unjustified stops are made, they fit right into the public's feeling that I am a part of a quota. We are not talking this morning, Senator Schmitt and I, about profiling based on race, gender, sexual orientation, religion, or anything else. We're talking about the broad general range of law enforcement. If an officer is deemed to be a slacker on the job, sloughing, not doing his or her job, spending too much time at the doughnut shop hiding out, those matters can be taken into consideration, documented, and the officer can be counseled. If the officer shows himself or herself overall to be unsuitable for the job, a separation can be made. But all this bill is talking about is prohibiting the