

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 3, 2000 LB 701

converted privately-owned land to public land that anyone can use, and then we propose, for an example, in the committee amendment to create a situation where the landowner is not a participant and, yet, must require...must be responsible for all of the maintenance of the fence along the...along the outside of their property, which is actually between their property and some other public property, generally a road. Under some other liability sections of statute, there is the opportunity, particularly with livestock that get out in the event that a fence has not been maintained, that some amount of liability for any sort of injury, property damage or personal injury, falls upon the landowner and that is a change from railroad fence law where currently they enjoy some protection, whether or not the railroads actually carry out their responsibility with fencing. So you understand, you have to understand that it is not as simple as fencing a state park.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR COORDSEN: It is not as simple as facing a...fencing a wildlife management area, which tend to not concentrate the public and be more comparable to an ordinary neighbor which we're quite accustomed to the requirements of current private fence laws. Thank you, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Coordsen, your light is next.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Now, where...Senator Tyson, another question, if you would, please. I don't know if I answered your last one.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Tyson.

SENATOR TYSON: Senator Coordsen, I have a question, if I may intrude on your time.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Yes, sir.

SENATOR TYSON: I think that, first of all, we've passed the Schrock amendment, we're now talking about your amendment, AM2241, and I think that at least we have the issue expressed for the edification of all. Would it not...and this is my question to you, would it not be beneficial to the process, if