

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 3, 2000 LB 729

adopted, that being AM2005, I would insert a new subsection. This is what it would say, "If the Secretary of State accepts or refuses to accept for filing any initiative or referendum petition, he or she shall provide no comment or opinion relative to any particular or specific aspects or provisions of any petition but shall only declare that the petition is or is not legally sufficient." To put it in a nutshell, a petition is submitted, the Secretary goes through whatever review is required under the law, and on whatever form or by whatever means that office determines to make its decision known, the Secretary of State will simply declare the petition is legally sufficient and will be placed on the ballot, or the petition is legally insufficient and therefore will not be accepted for placement on the ballot. Some of these petitions, brothers and sisters, are down right screwy in the way they are drafted. They are contradictory, they are incoherent, and that's not even going to whatever the point of the petition is. The Secretary of State may decide, instead of trying to sift through all of this, since the Secretary of State may not be an attorney, do like they do in football, punt, give it to the court and let the court take evidence and sift through all of this and make a determination. If, on the other hand, the Secretary of State is required to declare why one of these things is insufficient, there might be 30 reasons. Does the Secretary have to give all 30, or is giving 1 a sufficient basis to reject it? But if only one is given, does that say that it's the only respect in which the petition is insufficient legally? So to be sure, the Secretary of State might go through and list all kinds of things, even those where there is a question, just to be on the safe side, list all of these reasons. And then when the court gets the matter, the court disregards all that anyway. The court makes an independent determination. So there is no point in having the Secretary of State do anything other than accept it or reject it. And the only reason given will be the one stated in the law, if this becomes a part of the law, namely that the petition is not legally sufficient. There is more I can say on this amendment, but I will wait and see if people have questions they would like to put to me, then I won't have to be repetitive, and any remarks that I make can be aimed directly at the concerns that anybody might have with the amendment. And, Senator Baker, I don't know whether you're yawning because you're very tired, you're bored, you're sleepy,