

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 2, 2000 LB 654

of...Department of Administrative Services and it's supposed to be a cleanup thing, but I'm not sure as we clean this up we actually know whether something we're cleaning up needed it in the first place. I have to admit I'm going to pass on this amendment because I'm not sure what it means. I'm not sure where it's taking us and that frightens me, to be completely frank.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Lynch. Senator Schimek, on AM2159.

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Yes, thank you. Thank you, Mr. President, members of the body. And, Senator Lynch, I'll be glad to give you more time if you would like to ask me more questions, but let me...let me try to explain these two segments of this amendment a little better. Actually, the first part of it, which talks about the IDSD into IMSD is actually kind of a consolidation project and it's, I think, an efficiency and...and efficiency kind of move. I don't think there's anything going on here. The Intergovernmental Data Services Division is just no longer needed and so they're wanting to eliminate it, and I think we shouldn't question them too hard if they want to eliminate a program. We're always trying to do that here on the Legislature one way...in the Legislature one way or the other. The second thing involving the Personnel Division Revolving Fund, it is codifying this to comply with statutory requirements and is consolidated with an already codified Employment Recognition Revolving Fund, and I think it's going to be actually helpful to us in dealing with these funds. There's so many revolving funds out there that it does get confusing. So, Senator Lynch, would you have any other questions? I'm not sure I can answer all your questions because they're pretty detailed, but I will try.

SENATOR LYNCH: Can you hear me now? Okay.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Lynch, will you yield?

SENATOR LYNCH: Senator Schimek, I certainly don't intend to put you on the spot because I don't know how you know either, and this was a bill that was discussed in Government Committee, I understand, back in February of '99, so it's been a long time