

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 1, 2000 LB 175

unknown." Everything to help the landlord. Even back then landlords were bad people.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Senator Chambers, on the motion to amend the committee amendments.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I thought one of my younger colleagues was...had an expression that thought...where he thought I was through. But as Paul John Jones, or Jones John...Jones Paul John said, I have not yet begun to fight. And you know what his colleagues said when he said that? They grabbed these sticks and truncheons and bashed him right up side the head, and they said, that's why we're in the trouble we're in now, that's why the ship is sinking, we've been fighting tooth and nail, and you have not even begun! Again, in one of those statements that people love to quote and make it seem like it has profound significance when, if you analyze it, it will not stand up. I was looking for another one of those comments about the landlords so you can see how far back their bad conduct and their bad reputation extends. But I don't think I need to find it right now, because at some point I'm going to go through more of this directly, and it will pop out. But right now, to deal with the amendment that's before us, it would strike the new matter, reinstate the stricken matter, and say landlords are going to be content with stealing \$250 from the tenant, they can steal that amount with the law's blessing. Now they can steal more than that, if they want to do it on their own. But in reality, the way they got senators to enact the law, they can steal more than that right now. It doesn't say, the existing law that is, that a landlord's supposed reasonable belief has to be accurate, because it doesn't have to be based on anything, it can be a hunch, it can be a lie, it can be a whim. And if the amount turns out to be 1,000 times more than what the landlord said he or she believed it to be, there is no penalty. The landlord has already gotten rid of the property. And the law does not say if it turns out, when the landlord sells it, that there is more money realized than the \$250, anything has to be done with it. The only time money has to be turned over to that Unclaimed Property Fund is if the landlord, I guess trying to be more or less up front and honest, acknowledges that this is property of a value that ought to be sold publicly. That's when that public sale occurs,