

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

February 1, 2000 LB 175

justice. And therefore the bell that rings to a sermon calls not upon the preacher only, but upon the congregation to come, so that this bell calls us all; but how much more me, who am brought so near the door by this sickness. The bell doth toll for him that thinks it doth. Who bends not his ear to any bell which upon any occasion rings? But who can remove it from that bell which is passing a piece of himself out of this world? No man is an island, entire of itself; every man is a piece of the continent, a part of the main. If a clod be washed away by the sea, Europe is the less, as well as if a promontory were, as well as if a manor of thy friend's or thine own were. Any man's death diminishes me, because I am involved in mankind; and therefore never send to know from whom the bell tolls; it tolls for thee. Why, when we will give almost universal assent to sentiments expressed like that when we're presenting them to children or others whose attitude we're trying to shape, but when it comes to applying them to how we treat people it all goes out the window? Why, if the bell is tolling and we know it's tolling for somebody else, would we not offer comfort to that person instead of mockery, scorn, and that attitude, if not by words, I'm glad it's you and not me? Tenants are the ones for whom. "Senator" Radcliffe, Senator Hilgert, Senators Hilgert and Quandahl would like to have the bell toll and I cannot stop the sound of the bell, but I can do what I can to prevent that from happening which their bill is designed to make happen to the tenants. Such gouging as in this bill would not be allowed if addressed toward any other class of people. Just the other day in the Judiciary Committee we had a representative of the bankers coming to us. It dealt with, when people declare bankruptcy, the point from which a statute of limitations begins to run. Some bankers had made certain assumptions and thought a statute of limitations had run and did not even attempt to get in a position to recoup something. So, because of their negligence, their making presumptions that were false about the operation of the law, you know what they did before the Judiciary Committee? Instead of telling their lawyers, get on the ball, instead of the bankers using their sophistication, they came to get us to toll or stop the statute of limitations from running and give them a much longer time so that their negligence will not get them in trouble. Thirty days was about the minimum amount of time in all this complex process that they were laying out. So what I asked my colleagues on the Judiciary