

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 31, 2000 LB 175

projectiles propelled by gun powder. They might even include napalm, because anything not nuclear may be considered conventional. They all, each and every one, will kill just as surely as the most powerful. So we have this situation where a bill is before us and I'm going to bump it off. But I take my lumps on each matter that I present to the Legislature. On the question or the issue of weapons I want to correct something there, too. Newscasters and people who ought to know better will pronounce the word as though an "H" follows the "W". They will pronounce the word "wheapon", "wh", w-h, but it's "weapon", w-e-a-p-o-n. There is no "H" sound in it. But again because their education is defective they put an "H" sound in it. And that's the way it is across the board with these Americans who say they want only English spoken and they don't even know how to speak English themselves. But since they all share in the same ignorance, then they feel that what they ignorantly do is the correct thing to do. No, that's just the prevailing thing that is done. But aside from that, I will get back to this amendment. I forgot to discuss it. I learned from that first vote when I tried to substitute for 500 an amount of 100 I was trounced, three to nothing. So what I decided to do was to learn from that lesson. And instead of reducing the 500 to 100, I will raise...double the amount that I was going to for from 100 to 200. So the amendment that was before you which was voted down would have substituted 200 for 500, instead of the original 100 that I came forth with. However, if the 200 is adopted, it would reduce the amount which currently is in law. That amount is \$250. I want to reduce it to \$200. And that's what the amendment would do that I'm asking to have reconsidered, but which I know will not be. It will not be reconsidered from the standpoint of giving me a winning vote. It will be reconsidered in the sense of having to vote on it again. I am going to discuss, after this amendment, some of the other provisions in the bill that I think ought to be changed but which I know will not be. This Legislature, like most law schools, is geared toward the interests of the big, the powerful, the politically well-placed. The ones who don't fit that category, ironically, are the ones whose votes put everybody into this Legislature who is here. There are not enough rich people to elect any senator in any district, if the poor people vote. So how is it that people can be prevailed upon to vote against their own interests, the same way I prevail