

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 31, 2000 LB 175

SENATOR CHAMBERS: At least the issue was one of substance. It was a serious issue. It justified the discussion that took place. But that bill was pulled off the agenda and it will not return anymore. Some people think that it was pulled off because there are going to be some kind of negotiations resulting in a deal and the bill will show up again. The bill is gone. But this one which has nothing in the way of that kind of merit, that kind of societal significance, is the one that we're going to use to establish the tone of the session, and it's all right with me, because I'm getting into that zone and into that frame of mind.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time. We are discussing the reconsider motion to FA279 to the divided committee amendments to LB 175. Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, I was reading from the existing law, but I didn't read everything found in Section 69-2308. So I'm going to continue from where I had left off. And this is in subsection (1) of that section. "At such time as the decision to sell or to retain is made, any locked trunk, valise, box or other container shall be opened, if practicable, with as little damage as possible and its contents evaluated". It doesn't say, by the way, in this language what practicable refers to. It doesn't say who shall do the evaluating, but that's in the current law. Continuing with what is found in the statute, "Nothing in this section shall be construed to preclude the landlord or the tenant from bidding on the property at the public sale. The successful bidder's title shall be subject to ownership rights, liens and security interests which have priority by law". So, it is recognized that there may be containers on the premises and they may be locked. And such containers may be trunks, valises, boxes or some other type. And such containers or container, if it's one, shall be open, if practicable, with as little damage as possible. Now the phraseology about "with as little damage as possible", apparently relates to the container. It does not extend to the contents of the container, and that's in the existing law. But assume that the landlord, who may want to keep this property, does not want to damage the contents because they may be quite valuable, will do as little damage as possible to the container. Being on the shady or shifty side anyway, the