

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 31, 2000 LB 175

incorrect statements. But when I pinned him down, the only one he mentioned was about the potato crop. And then we came to an accord because he had not heard me mention that other crops are grown in Ireland, that was the gravamen of our discussion, that these potato crops dealt with those that are raised by the people who had a little, tiny piece of land that they could grow these potatoes on. But there is something I had mentioned the other day and promised to bring, which I forgot, but I'm going to do it. That had to do with Omaha police officers blocking the traffic on Interstate 80 by putting a cruiser in both lanes and driving slowly, and nobody was allowed to pass. I have those articles and I will deliver them as I promised, but I forgot to do so, but you will get them and maybe one or two others along that line. But on this particular bill and this particular provision it got into the law and never should have been there. But as I stated earlier, and it will kind of give you an idea of what some of these amendments will do, if we're going to say that there is a dispute between a landlord and a tenant and there is some property which, beyond question, belongs to the tenant, the law should not allow the landlord to just keep it. So if I cannot get that out of the law, then I'm going to make an offer to put something into the law. And what I would put into the law is a presumption, whatever the amount that this property will ultimately have, if a vote is ever taken on it, I would say that a presumption exists that that property has a value of \$500, or whatever the amount is, and it must be deducted from whatever amount the tenant is claimed or determined in some proceeding to owe the landlord. So if that amount is going to be jacked up to \$500, and the landlord can keep this property without going through any proceeding whatsoever, then a presumption on behalf of the tenant and against the interests of the landlord will be that the value of that property is whatever the amount turns out to be in the law that a landlord can keep. Currently it's \$250. The committee amendment wants to reduce the amount of \$1,000 contained in the bill to \$500. So I'm going to use \$500 for convenience. The first thing is that the amount would be presumed to be \$500, and that amount would be deducted from whatever the tenant is claimed to owe. If there is any proceeding which the tenant wants to initiate in small claims court, it cannot be removed to another court. And if the basis for that action revolves around the value of the property, the landlord who took that property