

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 28, 2000 LB 175

everybody else hungry. My Christianity is compatible with sick people not having the wherewithal to obtain medical care, because those things cost money. This might sound ironic, but thank God I'm not a Christian. And the Christians are probably glad, too, because I set too high a standard when it comes to conduct, but you got to be a Christian to do some of the terrible things that are done in this Chamber. Just like during the inquisition, when they tortured people for an alleged belief, and many of the people they tortured...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...managed to have goods and things of value that the torturers wanted to obtain possession of burned people at the stake. Christians have burned philosophers, but philosophers have never burned Christians. So I would that everybody be a philosopher instead of a Christian, but we don't get our wishes. These are the things that all of those family and other values ought to be brought to bear on that are before us here in this bill. And the people who are being oppressed, but ordinarily people who would speak for the ones who have no voice and are oppressed by this bill, have to be dumb this morning, meaning silent and mute, because they're on the wrong side of the question. And the morality of the issue pales when placed along side of a political obligation.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Time. Further debate on the motion to reconsider? Senator Chambers, you're recognized to close on the motion to reconsider.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, let me amend something that I said. No, I don't have to amend it because I am a trans...the Bible says the way of the transgressor is hard. Who determines who is a transgressor? Whoever is talking about somebody they don't like, that's how you do when things are a matter of opinion. And that's exactly what we're talking about here in the amendment that I'm offering, a landlord with all of the power on his or her side, a landlord who's been empowered under the law to base the taking of an impoverished persons property on the basis of a belief. And the Legislature knows and is not concerned. Am I concerned? Deeply. Do I think I can persuade the Legislature to do the