

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 28, 2000 LB 175

it. This...the existing law doesn't even say who believes it. All the landlord has to do is say, I believe that this property was worth less than \$500, or \$1,000, or whatever the amount turns out to be. And then the landlord can keep it, can keep it. Now,...

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD PRESIDING

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...I need somebody I can ask a question of. Well, I'm going to put my light on, continue the discussion, and maybe somebody will be able to help me by answering a question. Who would have to prove or disprove the alleged value of the property, if there is a dispute? And that's something that I'm going to explore when my next opportunity to speak comes up.

PRESIDENT MAURSTAD: Your opportunity just arrived, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Mr. President. Senator Brashear, Senator Brashear, I need to intrude on you again.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Under the existing law...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: No, you're not intruding, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh, okay, all that a landlord has to do or somebody is say they believe that this property is worth less than whatever the amount is and can then keep it. Who...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: But I would contend they have to be able to establish that, if challenged.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Okay. So who would have to prove the value of the property? Because the only burden on the landlord is a belief, so the belief entitles the landlord to keep it. Doesn't that mean that the burden of proving that it's worth more would be on the tenant who lost it, because the law gives the landlord the right to keep it.