

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 27, 2000 LB 175

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Of those who were working on this bill, who thought that a guide dog should be subjected to this, subject to this deposit?

SENATOR HILGERT: Senator Chambers, I don't remember who thought that they should be subjected to this deposit. That is why this section is coming out of the bill, and it was something that I certainly supported.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think it would be unconscionable to make a person pay this deposit for a guide dog?

SENATOR HILGERT: Yes, I do, that's why we're taking it out of the bill.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think the people who put this in were reaching beyond what they legitimately should have reached for?

SENATOR HILGERT: In my opinion, I think the people that, whoever did, in fact, draft this bill, probably looked at this section of law and made several increases and this was one to make an increase on. I'm not...I can't say that they gave it much thought. Do to the readiness of which all parties agreed to take this section out, I can't say that they gave it much thought at all.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Do you think whoever put it in there, this is my question, reached beyond where they should have reached in trying to get a deposit on guide dogs?

SENATOR HILGERT: Substantively, yes. I do think, though, that industry sometimes see when different levels were put into law and then try to raise those. This was among all of those...those levels of deposits.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, let me ask you something.

SENATOR HILGERT: General attempt to increase deposits, Senator.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: If Section 1 is stricken, as is proposed, would there be any deposit that a person would have to put on a