

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 27, 2000 LB 175

story. "While we had some operational concerns when the bill was introduced, we addressed them at the judiciary committee hearing on the bill last year. I believe that the amendments that are pending are designed to take care of the concerns we had." And you'll notice he said operational concerns, he said there is no opinion given on the bill itself. I asked, well, did his, because he hand delivered this to me, are you speaking for Mr. Mark Wagner, the Douglas County Court Administrator, who talked about the problems they'll have in Omaha with this short turnaround time? He said, no, he is not speaking for him. So if you read this, you will see the short period of time that there would be to process this. Then the writer of the letter or the memo that I'm sharing with you, which was addressed to me, points out some of the inequities in this law. So, with the committee amendments to work on, with other amendments that are to be offered, I'd like to ask Senator Quandahl a question if I may.

SENATOR SCHIMEK PRESIDING

SENATOR SCHIMEK: Senator Quandahl, would you respond?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Yes, I would.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Senator Quandahl, do you have any amendments you're offering to this bill?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Yes, I do.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Are they different from the committee amendments?

SENATOR QUANDAHL: Yes, they are.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would they attempt to amend the committee amendments or the bill itself? And I am asking for clarification.

SENATOR QUANDAHL: They would attempt to amend the committee amendments.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you. Senator Hilgert, may I ask you