

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 27, 2000 LB 120

they can hold onto it, and there's nothing I can do to change it. But I completely repudiate everything in this amendment and I'm going to attack it. And if these kind of things are added to the bill, that bill is going nowhere. Let me tell you how it even got out of committee. It got out last year, and there was no strong belief, as I recall, on the part of anybody in the committee that this bill was going to be given consideration, because there was no way it could come up last session. So it comes out here this session, now we have to deal with it. I was willing to be put in a position to allow the bill to come to a vote, but now there's more in it than was in the green copy in the first place. Things are in this now that were not in the "Chip Davis" amendment, and some of what's in this bill tries to retain the "Chip Davis" amendment by saying, on page 1, and then similar language on page 2, they're talking about the parenting plan first, and the goal is...well, let me just read it. "In the development of a parenting plan consideration shall be given to the minor child's age and developmental needs and provision of a healthy relationship between the minor child and each party with the goal of maintaining significant visitation time for the noncustodial parent with the time the child spends with the custodial parent". And what is that, other than another way of saying you're telling the court to try to equalize the time? It's trying to say the "Chip Davis" amendment in a different way. And the language is kind of bunglesome, but now I'm not talking about the draftsmanship at this point. I'm talking about the thrust of it and the content. When that similar language is found on page 2, in lines 18 through 22, it says "in determining visitation arrangements". Now we're talking about visitation, not the parenting, "it shall be a goal of the court to maintain significant visitation time for the noncustodial parent with the time the child spends with the custodial parent, unless such goal is not in the best interest of the minor child". You're tilting it right away by saying the court shall take this as its goal. So they eliminated the word "first", but they still say when you are determining visitation it shall be the court... "the goal of the court", and then this other bunglesome language. What is significant visitation time? I mean, when you talk about the time the child spends with the custodial parent, what does that mean? If the custodial parent has the child during school, does that mean that during the vacation the noncustodial parent gets to take the child? It is