

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 27, 2000 LB 120

amendment when we get to it. Thank you.

SENATOR WICKERSHAM: Senator Chambers, there are no further lights on your motion to reconsider.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Mr. President, members of the Legislature, I am going to withdraw that motion, but I have to make something clear. Everything we're talking about now is in the realm of procedure. I'm not agreeing to anything in this amendment that's being offered. And I had said that if certain of the major concerns I had were taken away, then I would let the bill get to a vote. But if this amendment, as presented to us, is adopted, I'm not going to let it get to a vote. Senator Beutler and I, now he may have seen some of what's in this amendment, but I didn't. The only person I talked to was Senator Beutler, then Senator Landis. And Senator Landis had shown me a version of an amendment which said that, when it talks about this joint custody, it was not going to involve children under four years of age. Well, Senator Landis, I want you to know that that's not a part of this now. So do you understand that all bets are off, in terms of what we talked about in my office? The reason I'm trying to make this crystal clear, I'm willing to work with people and I will. I've spent more time on this bill than I should have. I should have just stuck to my idea of killing it, not letting it come to a vote. But I worked in good faith with Senator Beutler. I believe Senator Landis worked in good faith, because he was scratching around on the paper, trying to pull together things that seemed irreconcilable. And although what he came up with still would not take away my objection to the bill, it created a set of circumstances where I would have let the bill come to a vote. Now I cannot absolutely stop this bill from coming to a vote, I cannot do that, unless there are enough senators who agree with my position to prevent 33 votes from being acquired to cease the debate by way of cloture. Other senators have come to me, although they hadn't been discussing it on the floor, and saying they also are against the concept of joint custody when one of the parents does not agree. But I said there's going to have to be discussion of that on the floor so that it's clear that I'm not the only one who has problems with this, and it cannot be portrayed as Ernie against the world. Now I'm willing to be in that posture because it often is that way. But I should not be carrying the water for other