

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 26, 2000 LB 289

comes to zoning. That's been a pretty consistent position of this body. We have tried to facilitate quicker local zoning through the interim zoning law that Senator Schmitt and others, Senator Raikes, worked on, but we still said it's still a local...a local matter. So I don't find, I haven't heard a compelling reason to establish a state records depository for this information, and that's just where I'm at. I understand that it's optional, and I need to...I guess I should verify that. As I read the amendment, Senator Cudaback, would Senator Cudaback yield to a question, please, Madam President? Madam President,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Yes, I will.

SENATOR BROMM: Senator Cudaback,...

SENATOR CROSBY PRESIDING

SENATOR CROSBY: Senator Cudaback, will you respond?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Yes.

SENATOR BROMM: Is it optional or required that the counties file these zoning regulations?

SENATOR CUDABACK: It said they shall file them, but, as Senator Landis alluded to, and Senator Beutler alluded to, the fact that there probably is no penalty for not, and so, call it what you wish.

SENATOR BROMM: Okay. All right, it's...thank you, Senator Cudaback. I don't...I guess you are not going to know then for sure if you've got all the regulations on file or not. You still may have to check locally to find out, so I...I guess I favor continuing to leave zoning as a local issue. If I'm interested in going to that county and building something or moving an operation there, I think it's incumbent upon me to go to that county or contact that county and get the information. If NACO wants to have a web page and provide all of that at one location, they can do that. The county can have their web page and do that. I just simply, I guess, from the standpoint of government cost and not finding a compelling reason to do it,