

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE
Transcriber's Office

January 25, 2000 LB 120

SENATOR BRASHEAR: If it's ordered joint custody, that's saying that when the...we're talking there personal custody. When the child is with...with the mother, the mother will make the decisions in the best interests of the child; when the child is with the father, the father will make those decisions. It will not be as it now is where the child can be visiting the father, but the mother is still in control, because she's got custody of, for instance, a doctoring situation.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So then, if you will turn now to the committee amendment, and starting in line 4 of page 1, with existing law: "The parenting plan shall include a schedule which designates in which party's home the minor child shall reside on given days of the year, including provisions for specified religious and secular holidays, birthdays of family members, vacations, and other special occasions". That cannot be subject to this new language, can it? Because this will be resolved in the parenting plan. Or does this new language authorize these people to amend the parenting plan based on how they feel?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Well, the new language makes possible joint custody, and that would have to...you have to read the two together.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That's what I want us to do.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: And so, yes, the language that you queried me on could, in fact does work with the joint parenting plan, because here the parenting plan is only talking about the schedule of visitation. And then when you take the language you inquired of, that applies, based on that schedule.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Well, what about religious and secular holidays?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: What...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: That would be...that would be determined by the parenting plan as to where the child is going to spend this...these particular events. Is that true?