

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 25, 2000 LB 120

There's another place that also has to be put into that hand simultaneously, and that's the needs of the child. Now Senator Matzke said something that I agree with, but I would change the "termination". I am shaped by two pieces of reading I've done. One is called Beyond the Best Interests of the Child, one is called The Custody Wars. What they both point to is a body of psychological evidence that children of tender age bond with a psychological parent, and the word "psychological" because it doesn't have to even be a natural, biological person. This is the person who comes when they cry. This is the person who feeds them when they're hungry. This is the person who wakes them from their sleep to begin the day. And it doesn't have to be a biological mother; it doesn't have to be a biological father. It's the person who's on the other end of the baby's needs and that person becomes the psychological parent. By the way, in an increasing numbers of family, that's a grandmother, it's not a biological mother. But it's the person who the child uses as the bellwether for whether they are safe or not, whether they are secure or not. And for children of tender ages there is one, doesn't mean that there isn't a second person that's incredibly important, but there is one. And, by the way, because oftentimes it arises from breast feeding, it's overwhelmingly the biological mother, but it doesn't have to be. Which is why, when Jerry says it's the mother, not the father, I think he's trying to get at the same idea because that person is going to be the mother...

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR LANDIS: ...in most situations. What I want is a regime that recognizes that reality, which means, from my perspective, the primary role should fall to the care of the psychological parent for children of tender ages. And I don't think the law ought to get into that situation too heavily. That's why I voted against the grandparent rights bill, because I didn't want the law treating children as a commodity that could be passed around among an increasingly demanding political world. I think children of tender years don't fit the joint custody mold particularly well, and I think there are other situations that joint custody doesn't work particularly well, and I think there ought to be more options. And the part of the bill I like well is the idea of parties working out a parenting plan. Let me