

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 25, 2000 LB 120

not married, none of this comes into play for that noncustodial parent. Is that true? And under the...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Senator Chambers, I would have to...I would have to do some work. I'm sorry. If you know the answer, why, tell me.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: No, I don't. I'm asking because I'm not that familiar with the specifics of this bill.

SENATOR BRASHEAR: I would have to check the...

SENATOR CHAMBERS: But...but let me ask you another question,...

SENATOR BRASHEAR: ...Parenting Act.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: ...because it was brought up yesterday. In view of the Equal Rights Amendment to the constitution, equal application of the laws, how could you treat parents differently, with reference to the rights they have to their child, simply because they were married or not married?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Well, now, that one I can answer, Senator Chambers.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Would you?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Time.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Oh.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Chambers. Senator Beutler, on the amendment.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Senator Cudaback, members of the Legislature, I oppose the amendment, although I doubt if it makes a whole lot of difference, given the other language in the particular provision. But I oppose it in part because I don't want to make too little of this bill. I think some real changes in the way we think about things is necessary at this particular point in time. I want to talk a little bit about fathers and about what kind of attitude, as a practical matter, seems to exist in the