

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 25, 2000 LB 120

to go and some contracts call for mediation. But if you're going to have a situation where you create deadlock, where you create gridlock, where you create stalemate, those words mean that there is paralysis and it cannot go one way or the other. That is what is created by the explicit language in this bill. This is not recommending something to the courts; this is mandating a condition between two people that will allow them to go into court to seek the resolution of the most petty argument, and a court cannot say, since it's mandated by law, that this is too trifling and you cannot get into court. The court can say it, but then you have a basis for appeal, and you have a basis on the explicit language of the law. Where are all those people, like Senator Matzke and Senator Bruning, who were so concerned about all these appeals yesterday? Because those involved killing and they didn't want somebody whose life is on the line to have a chance to go to court. But now that we're talking about literally opening the court, not only to specific instances, but to every matter that's before a court now involving visitation and the matters covered by this bill. And they don't care about the number of appeals. But there is something else that is of interest to me. I would like to ask Senator Brashear a question. Senator Brashear,...

SENATOR CUDABACK: Would you yield, Senator Brashear?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: Yes, Mr. President.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Does...does...does this bill apply only in the case of divorce or in situations where the parents were married to each other? Is that the only circumstance under which this bill comes into play?

SENATOR CUDABACK: One minute.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Or would it apply to parents of a child who are not married to each other?

SENATOR BRASHEAR: No, this...I believe, if I'm recalling correctly, this would apply only to parents who were married and were being divorced.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: So, if two people had a child but they were