

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 25, 2000 LB 120

the abuse of the children that exists as a result of the other spouse. You know to say (laugh)...to say that there shouldn't be joint custody, as far as I'm concerned, makes no sense at all and is, in fact, hypocritical, because what you're trying to say in the case of divorce is that we don't recognize that people will disagree; that we want to do it the easy way. In most cases I don't think the judge has the time or takes the time, in some cases, to really understand the circumstances or who's right and wrong. Some people can seem believable when you know in your heart they are lying through their teeth about something. It seems to me that we got to give the kids a chance to have access to both parents. And it's natural that people will disagree. I don't think they have to go back to court, but the example used by our good friend, Senator Redfield, that a school might have an outing or a picnic and then the parents would...you know, the divorced parents would say, yeah, they can go, and the other parent says, no, they can't, doesn't make any difference whether you're divorced or married. You know the possibility exists, if you're married, that same kind of an argument can exist. And have you ever, you know, been around people long enough, when you know they're so out of control sometimes and so hard to get along with that you just give in? You know it's stupid, and you know that their point of view isn't fair to the kids, but you don't care after a while, you just give in to this parent who refuses to cooperate and understand what's in the best interest of the kid, and they're married, they're married to each other. We don't have anybody stepping in to do anything about that because we can't, but when there is joint custody we could. And it's hard for me to buy the arguments that it would make it tougher on the courts or people would be running back and back to the courts, time after time, after time. I don't think that that again is a reason; I think it's an excuse not to change the law. I think it's about time that we give the family a chance, even in the case of divorce, for the kid's sake that both parents can be involved. And even in the case of divorce, like in the case if you're still married, there is going to be differences of opinion and you're going to be stupid and silly trying to make sure you win as it applies to your point of view, or what should or shouldn't be done, or what's in the best interest of the kids. Humanity is an interesting thing and, again, the most important thing in life is simply to be human. And I think by having a joint