

TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE  
Transcriber's Office

January 25, 2000 LB 120

resolve the dispute? Since it's by court order, they go to court. So...and Senator Redfield will probably say, perish the thought, but let's say Senator Redfield and I got married, had a child. I know she's cringing through this, maybe not (laugh). Things didn't work out and she jumped over the broom or I did, which means you divorce. And I say, I think the problem is that you go to church too much. And she says, I think the problem is that you don't go to church at all. I say, well, when the child...when it comes to church, this child is not going to church. She said, when it comes to the child, oh, yes, he is going to church. So, in order to resolve it, we take it to Solomon. And you know who Solomon is? The judge who issued the order. And I had people yesterday talking about all these appeals, you don't want to burden the courts, but here is something that is inviting it, as the Speaker pointed out, and a judge couldn't even resolve it because the statute says they shall have equal. That means the same. When you talk about this visitation, you're talking about trying to strike some kind of balance and leaving a bit of flexibility, but I don't even like that. But here you explicitly say each shall have equal rights. So I say "no", and she says "yes"; it cannot be resolved, and there is the child. When marriages break up some people get remarried, and the new spouse doesn't like the ex-spouse and has very negative things to say. And that stuff spills over and affects the child. And for people to stand on this floor and act like that doesn't happen and it's always in the best interests of the child to have two parents, they have not come to terms with reality. It is not always best to have two parents, at least not the two who are the biological parents of the child. So all this Dick and Jane, all of this Ozzie and Harriet, all this Dagwood and Blondie stuff of ideal situations, once you put words in the statute, is not dealing with reality as it exists. They don't have to have gotten along, the parents, well enough to stay married. But there are people who, because they were concerned about the welfare of the child and each had shown consideration for the other, who agree to joint custody. There is nothing in the law that prevents that now. And one of the reasons that requirement of consent is in the law, because I would not accept anything else. I didn't want them mandating and dictating. Some people think my opposition to this kind of thing just started. I opposed grandparents visitation rights, and I'm a grandfather. There are some things